

A Decision Model to Plan Optimally Production-Distribution of Seafood Product with Multiple Location

By firmansyah firmansyah

A Decision Model to Plan Optimally Production-Distribution of Seafood Product with Multiple Location

Firmansyah ^{1*}, Herman Mawengkang ², Abdul Mujib ³ and Devy Mathelinea ⁴

¹ University of Muslim Nusantara Al-Washliyah, Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia; hmwengkang@yahoo.com

³ University of Muslim Nusantara Al-Washliyah, Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia; mujib@umnaw.ac.id

⁴ Faculty of Technology Management and Business, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia; devymathe-linea@gmail.com

* Correspondence: firmaryah@umnaw.ac.id

Abstract: Many companies are expected to become more competitive in terms of increasing the ability to penetrate market in the global supply chain network by integrating the plan for production and distribution. This study examines a multi-product fish production and distribution system in which multi-fish products are produced simultaneously from a wide range of raw resource classes. The objective of environmentally sustainable production planning is to meet market demand in accordance with environmental constraints. This paper sets out a management model that converts fisheries into multiple marine objects and moves them to various dispensing centers. It also incorporates a model to improve production and distribution planning at the same time. The mixed integer programming model is resolved by direct search.

Keywords: Decision sciences, optimization, direct search method, supply chain, fisheries

Citation: Firmansyah; Mawengkang H.; Mathelinea D. A Decision Model to Plan Optimally Production-Distribution of Seafood Product with Multiple Location. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-name

Received: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Most fisheries corporations are organized into processing and distribution networks that import processed raw fish resources into marine products and hand out end-products to their consumers. The aim is to make the right item at the best market value at the appropriate time. These production and distribution networks are known as supply chains. Managers will manage all procurement, manufacturing and delivery resources in the preparation of the supply chain. Specifically, the strategy demonstrates the need for managers to assess the cost of raw materials, inventory and shipping, taking into account manufacturing, output, storage and transshipment efficiency.

The production planning shall include the number of times each method has to be carried out, and the method shall use the amount of each class of raw materials throughout each planning cycle. The aim is to minimize the cost of manufacturing methods, inventories / back-order use of raw materials and employees in line with the requirements of goods, equipment efficiency and raw materials inventories. Another essential aspect of production planning is the evaluation of lot sizes: the assessment of the amount to be released for each product at a specified time. The revised output and survey on lot size can be reported in production planning in [1]. [2] shows an efficient lot - sizing optimization.

Instead, finished fish items would be distributed to consumers. Logistic complexity is described below as a routing issue in supply chain management. The system allocates manufactured goods to a number of geographically dispersed consumers by means of a stream of qualified vehicles from a central distribution facility named a warehouse. The

aim of the routing problem is to identify how many goods customers need to receive, how vehicles are assigned and the route to be traveled [3].

Throughout this article, we discuss the challenges of production and distribution planning across Indonesia's marine fisheries business. Marine fisheries are an important aspect of Indonesia's economic growth. This industry also provides jobs for coastal residents in order to strengthen the monetary benefits of local government and maintain sustainability. There are three industrial fisheries, open-sea fishing, fish farming and processed fish. The focus of this paper is on the last processed fish sector.

The maritime industry will usually be located throughout the coastal region. Several types of processed seafood, such as fried salmon, salted seafood, crunchy fishbowl, terrain (preserved fish), etc., are included throughout the production phase. The local small conventional corporation is dominated by this sector and uses a traditional management strategy. However, they do not have sufficient expertise and expertise to support the government and its citizens in managing the supply chain network [4].

The integration of production and distribution system (IPDS) have been considered in the articles regarding to supply chain since the mid-1980s. The IPDS can be found as an informative and detailed overview in [3], [5]–[7]. In [8], [9] they addressed IPDS in an optimization procedure that also optimizes decision variables for separate output and distribution functions. [10] was intended to address the issue of interrelating lot sizing and system inventory routing based on a linear mixed-integer method in order to optimize the network. They introduced a two-step technique that first approximated the amount of daily deliveries and subsequently resolved the problem of vehicle routing on each scheduling day. Reference [11] based on the model applied for the development and preparation of unprocessed food products. They thought of the issue as a full-scale programming model. Reference [12] has recently been established as a model for optimizing integrated inventory – delivery of routing problems in the agricultural supply chain.

Inputs are used for the processing of seafood products for the production of a manufactured product or service. In certain types, which may be referred to as contaminants or waste, these products are ultimately not used and dispersed throughout the system. Where pollution exceeds the capacity of the system to maintain and manage pollution, environmental threats arise. As regards the importance of preparing for processed seafood for sustainable development, the mathematical programming model provides a stimulus for research. Reference [13] proposed a multi-objective model to address environmentally sound sustainable development planning. It is a traditional model of output. Reference [14], in turn, used the optimization process approach to minimize the use of freshwater in order to address the production planning of crude palm oil. In particular, the cultivation of fish is a challenging issue, given the impact of the production variables and the environmental impacts. An interesting study on sustainable growth of integrated production and logistics can be found in [15]–[17]. Multi-period strategies for development and distribution are addressed in [18]. Researchers in [19]–[21] are using a fuzzy multi-criteria model to determine production and distribution management. [22] using stochastic programming models to discuss the preparation of processed fish products.

This paper concerns the modelling of the organized processing and delivery of seafood items. In this model, we stress the importance of achieving the objectives of fiscal, social and environmental sustainability. With a focus on formulating the issue, we propose a nonlinear integer programming (NLIP) approach, as the need for seafood is considered deterministic. A direct search method is designed to solve the model.

2. Mathematical Framework of the Problem

The integration planning problem of production and distribution addressed in this paper tends to be modeled as a NLIP problem. The general expression of the model can be written as in Equation (1),

$$\underset{x \in R^n}{\text{minimize}} f^0(x^N) + c^T x^L \tag{1}$$

Subject to 96

$$f(x^N) + A_1 x^L = b_1 \quad (m_1 \text{ rows})$$

$$A_2 x^N + A_3 x^L = b_2 \quad (m_2 \text{ rows})$$

$$l \leq x \leq u \quad (m = m_1 + m_2)$$

$$x_j \text{ integer, } j \in J_1$$

in which the model contains n variables with m constraints, $m < n$. 97

From Eq. (1), it can be seen that some proportion of variables x are in nonlinear form, 98
 can be found in the objective and constraints function. Furthermore, some variables may 99
 also be valued as an integer. We specify a nonlinear element if either its objective function 100
 or its limitation appears to be nonlinear in the formulation of the problem. 101

The linear constraints can then be written as follows. 102

$$Ax = [A] \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ x_S \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} = b \tag{2}$$

Matrix A is partitioned into matrix basic B , matrix super basic S and matrix non- 103
 basic NB 104

$$A = [B \ S \ N]$$

B is a non-singular $m \times m$ matrix, x_N are “non-basic” variables in which their val- 105
 ues are at one of their bounds. x_B and x_S are considered as basic and super basic varia- 106
 bles respectively, then to uphold feasibility to proceed in the next movement they must 107
 fulfill the expression 108

$$B \Delta x_B + S \Delta x_S = 0 \tag{3}$$

then, as the basis is a non-singular matrix, the following equation is satisfied. 109

$$\Delta x_B = -B^{-1} S \Delta x_S \tag{4}$$

Owing to Eq. (4), the super basics can be said as motivating powers, because of phase 110
 Δx_S determines process Δx as a whole. The key function of the algorithm is to think that 111
 the x_S component remains minimal. This can be done not only if the number of nonlinear 112
 variables is much less than the linear variables, but also in fact in many cases where all 113
 variables are nonlinear. Related thoughts on the framework of nonlinear integer systems 114
 would be developed. The proportion of integer variables in the problem is assumed to be 115
 minimal. 116

3. Problem Description 117

The fish industry to be considered is located at Kisaran city, Indonesia. The industry 118
 managed by the local people is planned to produce N processed fish in such a way to 119
 satisfy market for each period t . For instance, each period within three months. A 120
 bounded number of raw fish material can be stored for a short duration in the manufact- 121
 ures site incurred cost of ρ_{jt} . 122

The seafood product will be transferred to a set of n distribution points constructed 123
 by the industry management situated near by to the production site. Each distribution 124
 point $i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ has a non-negative and known demand D_{jt}^i of j kind fish product 125

within a period t of the planning period. A restricted quantity of inventory can be stored in distribution points i with holding cost of ρ_{jt}^i .

Now let consider about the logistic routing problem. We use the concept of Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). A variety of vehicles with the same capacity are available for transporting goods from the factory to the points of distribution. The fleet used is hired by the fish manufacture. The hiring costs are calculated on the basis of the number of journeys that can be made by each fleet. For example, each vehicle should make at least one transition for each cycle and each point must be inspected at least once for each period of time, other tasks are needed in the model. The decision model is to determine the least of the total of operational costs.

Firstly, the parameters and decision variables are described using the following notations.

Index set

- T : time periods
- N : products
- M : raw fish (original resources)
- L : the center point for distribution
- V : fleet of vehicles

Decision Variables

- X_{jt} : Amount of sea food production $j \in N$ in time $t \in T$ (ton)
- z_{jvt}^l : Amount of sea food production $j \in N$ to be sent to the point of distribution $l \in L$ in time $t \in T$ by fleet $v \in V$ (ton)
- u_{it} : Extra raw fish $i \in M$ to be bought for $t \in T$ (unit)
- k_t : Total workers to be used in time $t \in T$ (man-period)
- k_t^- : Number of unnecessary workers in time $t \in T$ (man-period)
- k_t^+ : Number of extra workers in time $t \in T$ (man-period)
- I_{jt}^0 : Amount of sea food production $j \in N$ to be kept at the production site in time $t \in T$
- I_{jt}^l : Amount of fish production $j \in N$ to be kept at time $t \in T$ in the center of distribution $l \in L$ (units)
- B_{jtl} : The unmet demand of sea food production $j \in N$ in time $t \in T$ in the center of distribution $l \in L$ (units)
- $C_{jvt} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if delivery of sea food } j \in V \text{ is done by fleet } v \in V \text{ in time } t \in T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $H_{vt} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if vehicle } v \in V \text{ is used for distribution center in time } t \in T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Parameters

We define all costs with the following character $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \rho, \lambda, \eta, \tau$

- D_{jt} : Customers' need for fish $j \in N$ in time $t \in T$ (units)
- U_{jt} : The largest amount of u_{jt} , for product $j \in N$ in $t \in T$
- r_{ij} : Number of raw fish $i \in M$ required to get a unit of fish product $j \in N$
- f_{it} : Number of raw fish $i \in M$ can be processed at time $t \in T$ (units)
- a_j : Total workers are necessary to obtain a unit of fish product $j \in N$
- w_{jt}^p : Superfluous of fish product $j \in N$ in time $t \in T$ (units)
- UI_{jt}^0 : Maximum capacity of inventory of product $j \in N$ at the production site in time $t \in T$ (units)
- UI_{jt}^l : Maximum capacity of inventory of product $j \in N$ at the center $l \in L$ in time $t \in T$ (units)
- g : The maximum weight a vehicle can carry
- b : Workers working hour per period

4. The Model

Minimizing

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_{jt} x_{jt} + \sum_{i \in M} \sum_{t \in T} \beta_{it} u_{it} + \sum_{t \in T} \mu_t k_t + \sum_{t \in T} \gamma_t k_t^- + \sum_{t \in T} \delta_t k_t^+ \\ & + \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} \eta_{jt} w_{jt}^p + \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} \rho_{jt}^0 I_{jt}^0 + \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_{jt} B_{jt} + \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{t \in T} \tau_{vt} H_{vt} + \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{l \in L} I_{jt}^l \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Subject to

176

$$\sum_{i \in N} r_{ji} x_{jt} \leq f_{it} + u_{it} \quad \forall i \in M, \forall t \in T \quad (6)$$

$$u_{it} \leq U_{it} \quad \forall i \in M, \forall t \in T \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} a_j x_{jt} \leq b k_t \quad \forall t \in T \quad (8)$$

$$0.10 x_{jt} \leq w_{jt}^p \leq 0.20 x_{jt} \quad \forall j \in N, \forall t \in T \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} \sum_{t \in T} w_{jt}^p \leq C^p \quad (10)$$

$$I_{jt}^l = I_{jt-1}^l + \sum_{v \in V} Z_{jvt}^l - D_{jt} \quad \forall j \in N, t \in T \quad (11)$$

$$I_{jt}^0 \leq U I_{jt}^0 \quad \forall j \in N, t \in T \quad (12)$$

$$I_{jt}^l \leq U I_{jt}^l \quad \forall j \in N, l \in L, t \in T \quad (13)$$

$$k_t = k_{t-1} + k_t^+ - k_t^- \quad t = 2, \dots, T \quad (14)$$

$$x_{jt} + B_{jt-1} + I_{jt}^0 - B_{jt} = D_{jt} \quad \forall j \in N, \forall t \in T \quad (15)$$

$$Z_{jvt}^l \leq g \cdot C_{jvt} \quad \forall j \in N, v \in V, l \in L, t \in T \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} Z_{jvt}^l \leq g \quad \forall v \in V, l \in L, t \in T \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} C_{jvt} \leq 1 \quad \forall v \in V, t \in T \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{v \in V} C_{jvt} \leq 1 \quad \forall j \in N, t \in T \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} C_{jvt} \leq f \cdot H_{vt} \quad \forall v \in V, t \in T \quad (20)$$

$$x_{jt}, u_{it}, k_t, k_t^-, k_t^+, Z_{jvt}^l, I_{jt}^0, I_{jt}^l, B_{jt} \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in N, \forall i \in M, \forall t \in T, \forall l \in L, \forall v \in V \quad (21)$$

$$C_{jvt}, H_{vt} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall j \in N, v \in V, t \in T \quad (22)$$

Equation (5) is the objective of the planning problem, expressed as minimizing the overall costs. Eq. (6) states the quantity of raw fish $i \in M$ which will be processed to produce the amount of $j \in N$ so as to have not more than the quantity of raw fish available at $t \in T$ along with the extra raw fish required. Nevertheless, the additional resource must be restricted for an upper bound (Eq. (7)). In (8), shown the total of work-force which is ready to work to produce fish $j \in N$. The total fish defective can be found in Eq. (9). Then, Eq. (10) shows that the fish defective must be processed within the capacity C^p . Equations (11) - (13) illustrate about the inventories which are available at the manufacturing site and distribution center. Eq. (14) is to guarantee that the amount of labor in period t is equivalent to the total workforce from the period $t - 1$ plus a change in the amount of work-force during period t . Eq. (15) shows whether the quantity of product to be put in the store or buying from others in order to add the shortage in relating to fulfill market demand. Equations (16) and (17) state the maximum amount of product to be delivered to all distribution centers. Eq. (18) is formulated so as to satisfy the necessity of a distribution point in the time period. In order to ensure that each fleet is used at most once we need Eq. (19). Eq. (20) is to guarantee that the same vehicle is used to deliver product from the center of delivering. Expressions (21) and (22) represent the definition of variables used.

5. Proposed Method for Tackling the Problem

The algorithm starts by solving the relaxed problem. If the result of the relaxed problem is already fully feasible, then Stop, otherwise Go To Level 1.

Level 1. Consists 7 Steps.

1. Find a row which has the smallest integer infeasibility
(This is due to the wish for getting a minimal deviation in the objective function value)

2. Calculate

$$v_{i^*}^T = e_{i^*}^T B^{-1}$$

3. Determine

$$\sigma_{ij} = v_{i^*}^T \alpha_j$$

With relates to

$$\min_j \left\{ \left| \frac{d_j}{\alpha_{ij}} \right| \right\}$$

Assess the maximum moving step of non-basic j at their lower and upper limit.

Or else go to the other non-integer non-basic or super basic j (if any). Eventually the column j^* is to be escalated from LB or reduced from upper bound. If empty go to next row.

4. Compute

$$B \alpha_{j^*} = \alpha_{j^*} \text{ for } \alpha_{j^*}$$

5. Perform a test for the basic variables to maintain feasibility
6. Replace basic variable
7. If no more row to process go to Level 2, otherwise Go to Step 1.

Level 2.

- Step 1. Alter integer infeasible super basics by an appropriate steps to achieve complete integer feasibility.
- Step 2. Alter integer feasible super basics. The aim of this move is to undertake a highly positioned neighborhood search in order to validate the optimum local condition.

6. Computational Illustration

As an illustration, we tackle a problem for managing a plan of production faced by a fish processed industry located in Kisaran, Indonesia. The data for the model described in the previous section are shown in Table 1 up to Table 16.

- The amount of product $N = 8$
- The number of set resources $M = 3$
- Time period $TP = 4$
- Distribution center $L = 3$
- The amount of vehicles used $V = 5$

The data of the problem can be found in Table 1 up to Table 10.

Table 1. Production Cost (IDR Million/ton)

Product	TP			
	1	2	3	4
1	2300	2300	2350	2400
2	780	800	800	850
3	6700	6700	6750	6800
4	8500	8550	8600	8600
5	15100	15100	15200	15200
6	3500	3550	3600	3600
7	1600	1600	1750	1800
8	8000	8200	8250	8300

Table 2. Added Resources Cost (IDR/ton)

Resources	TP			
	1	2	3	4
Machine1	45600	45800	45800	45900
Machine2	34300	34600	34600	34700
Machine3	32200	32300	32300	32500

Table 3. Costs for worker (IDR Million/man-period)

Cost Notation	TP			
	1	2	3	4
μ	22000	22500	22500	23000
γ	24000	24000	25500	26000
δ	25000	25000	25600	27000

Table 4. Raw fish for each Product (ton)

Resources	Product, j							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Machine1	6	5	6	8	7	6	5	9
Machine2	4	4	5	6	6	5	5	8
Machine3	5	3	5	6	6	5	5	7

Table 5. Capacity of Resource available

Period	Machine 1	Machine 2	Machine 3
1	20000	18000	21000
2	20000	18000	20000
3	20000	19000	21000
4	19000	17000	20000

Table 6. Upper Bound for Additional Resources

239

Period	Machine 1	Machine 2	Machine 3
1	300	300	200
2	300	300	200
3	250	300	200
4	200	250	250

Table 7. Workforce Needed to Produce Each Product

240

Product	Workforce (man/ton)
1	6
2	12
3	24
4	24
5	24
6	20
7	15
8	8

Table 8. Inventory Holding Cost (IDR Million/ton)

241

Product	Period			
	1	2	3	4
1	2700	2700	2700	5000
2	2500	2500	2500	4000
3	2400	2400	2400	2600
4	3000	3000	3000	5000
5	2400	2400	2400	2700
6	2000	2000	2000	2300
7	3000	3000	3000	4000
8	2500	2500	2500	2500

Table 9. Costs to Purchase from Outside (IDR Million/ton)

242

Product	Cost
1	6700
2	4800
3	10000
4	16200

5	27800
6	11000
7	15500
8	2500

Table 10. Data for Market Demand (ton)

243

Product, <i>j</i>	Situation, <i>s</i>	Period, <i>t</i>			
		1	2	3	4
1	Good	20000	20000	20500	20500
	Fair	18000	18000	18000	19000
	Poor	15000	15000	15000	16000
2	Good	115000	115000	115000	116000
	Fair	112000	112000	112500	113000
	Poor	90000	90000	90000	90000
3	Good	4000	4000	4500	4500
	Fair	3600	3600	3600	4000
	Poor	3000	3000	3100	3100
4	Good	5000	5000	5000	5500
	Fair	4500	4500	4500	4600
	Poor	4000	4000	4000	4100
5	Good	3500	3500	4000	4000
	Fair	3000	3000	3500	3500
	Poor	2000	2000	2200	2200
6	Good	4000	4000	4000	4200
	Fair	3600	3600	3600	3700
	Poor	3000	3000	3000	3100
7	Good	5100	5100	5200	5300
	Fair	4500	4500	4500	4600
	Poor	4000	4000	4100	4100
8	Good	5000	5000	5100	5100
	Fair	4500	4500	4600	4600
	Poor	4200	4200	4200	4200

Table 1 shows the production cost for each fish processed product in each period. Table 2 and 3 respectively, present the cost incurred for additional resource and hiring workforce. Table 4 and 5 show the capacity of resource needed and available for each machine. The upper bound for additional resources are given in Table 6. The data for workforce needed to produce each fish product is shown in Table 7. The cost for holding products in inventory can be found in Table 8. Table 9 shows the cost if the management has to purchase from outside the product in order to meet the demand.

244
245
246
247
248
249
250

Uncertainty occurs in the demand of each fish processed product in each period. The realization for the demand in every situation and in each period is shown in Table 10.

251
252

7. Computational Results

253

After solving the fish processed product problem applying the proposed method discussed in Section VI, we obtain the results as shown in Table 11 through to Table 15. 254
255

Table 11. Amount of each product in each period (X_{jt}) (in ton) 256

Product	Period			
	1	2	3	4
1	250.00000	250.00000	250.00000	30453.33200
2	900.00000	900.00000	900.00000	950.00000
3	200.00000	310.00000	400.00000	450.00000
4	200.00000	450.00000	450.00000	460.00000
5	200.00000	200.00000	200.00000	300.00000
6	200.00000	360.00000	360.00000	370.00000
7	200.00000	450.00000	450.00000	300.00000
8	33183.33193	30913.33199	32323.33193	300.00000

Table 12. Extra raw each resource to be bought for each period (u_{it}) 257

Resource	Period			
	1	2	3	4
1	300.00000	300.00000	250.00000	200.00000
2	300.00000	300.00000	300.00000	250.00000
3	200.00000	200.00000	200.00000	150.00000

Table 13. Number of workers 258

Period	Regular Worker	Lay-Off Worker	Additional Worker
1	29738	20816	0
2	17492	12245	0
3	17492	0	17492
4	10289	7202	0

Table 14. Amount of each fish production to be kept at each time period(TP) in each of the center of distribution (I_{jt}^i) 259
260

		DC 1	DC 2	DC3
Product 1	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Product 2	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Product 3	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

	TP 2	90.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	50.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Product 4	TP 1	600.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	50.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	140.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Product 5	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	50.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	200.00000	150.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	100.00000	50.00000	0.00000
Product 6	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	40.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	40.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	50.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Product 7	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	60.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	70.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	230.00000	160.00000	0.00000
Product 8	TP 1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 3	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	TP 4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

Table 15. The unmet demand of each product in each period in each of DC (B_{jtt})

261

		DC 1	DC 2	DC3
Product 1	Period 1	50.00000	70.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	100.00000	140.00000	200.00000
	Period 3	145.00000	210.00000	300.00000
	Period 4	30393.33200	30473.33200	30593.33200
Product 2	Period 1	100.00000	200.00000	300.00000
	Period 2	100.00000	988.00000	300.00000
	Period 3	100.00000	1776.00000	300.00000
	Period 4	150.00000	2613.00000	350.00000
Product 3	Period 1	0.00000	50.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	0.00000	0.00000	110.00000
	Period 3	0.00000	0.00000	200.00000
	Period 4	0.00000	50.00000	340.00000
Product 4	Period 1	0.00000	0.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	0.00000	0.00000	150.00000
	Period 3	90.00000	0.00000	200.00000
	Period 4	0.00000	0.00000	250.00000

Product 5	Period 1	100.00000	100.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	0.00000	0.00000	100.00000
	Period 3	0.00000	0.00000	80.00000
	Period 4	0.00000	0.00000	160.00000
Product 6	Period 1	0.00000	0.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	0.00000	0.00000	160.00000
	Period 3	0.00000	0.00000	220.00000
	Period 4	0.00000	0.00000	280.00000
Product 7	Period 1	0.00000	0.00000	100.00000
	Period 2	0.00000	0.00000	150.00000
	Period 3	0.00000	0.00000	190.00000
	Period 4	0.00000	0.00000	80.00000
Product 8	Period 1	32983.33193	32983.33193	33083.33193
	Period 2	63396.66392	63446.66392	63576.66392
	Period 3	95209.99585	95309.99585	95479.99585
	Period 4	94999.99585	95149.99585	95359.99585

8. Conclusions

An integer optimization model was created in this paper for tackling the problem of production planning combined with scheduling. The particular problem considered here is from a processed fish industry located at shoreline area. The demand of the fish product is assumed known (deterministic). In the model we include how determine the optimal number of workers to be used, in such a way that the industry would be able to recruit several local people. The discrete programming model also considers the sustainable production system. We address an improved algorithm for handling the problem.

References

- A. Andriolo, D. Battini, R. W. Grubbström, A. Persona, and F. Sgarbossa, "A century of evolution from Harris' s basic lot size model: Survey and research agenda," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 155, pp. 16–38, 2014.
- D. Battini, A. Persona, and F. Sgarbossa, "A sustainable EOQ model: Theoretical formulation and applications," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 149, pp. 145–153, 2014.
- M. Reimann, R. Tavares Neto, and E. Bogendorfer, "Joint optimization of production planning and vehicle routing problems: A review of existing strategies," *Pesqui. Operacional*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 189–214, 2014.
- B. Chuaysi and S. Kiattisin, "Fishing vessels behavior identification for combating IUU fishing: Enable traceability at sea," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 2971–2993, 2020.
- Z.-L. Chen, "Integrated production and outbound distribution scheduling: review and extensions," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 130–148, 2010.
- B. Fahimnia, R. Z. Farahani, R. Marian, and L. Luong, "A review and critique on integrated production-distribution planning models and techniques," *J. Manuf. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2013.
- R. Kumar, L. Ganapathy, R. Gokhale, and M. K. Tiwari, "Quantitative approaches for the integration of production and distribution planning in the supply chain: a systematic literature review," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 3527–3553, 2020.
- A. M. Sarmiento and R. Nagi, "A review of integrated analysis of production-distribution systems," *IIE Trans.*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 1061–1074, 1999.
- L. A. Wong, V. K. Ramachandaramurthy, P. Taylor, J. B. Ekanayake, S. L. Walker, and S. Padmanaban, "Review on the optimal placement, sizing and control of an energy storage system in the distribution network," *J. Energy Storage*, vol. 21, pp. 489–504, 2019.
- J. F. Bard and N. Nananukul, "Heuristics for a multiperiod inventory routing problem with production decisions," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 713–723, 2009.

11. S. M. Seyedhosseini and S. M. Ghoreyshi, "An integrated model for production and distribution planning of perishable products with inventory and routing considerations," *Math. Probl. Eng.*, vol. 2014, 2014. 293
294
12. L. Liao, J. Li, and Y. Wu, "Modeling and optimization of inventory-distribution routing problem for agriculture products supply chain," *Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, vol. 2013, 2013. 295
296
13. M. Rădulescu, S. Rădulescu, and C. Z. Rădulescu, "Sustainable production technologies which take into account environmental constraints," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 193, no. 3, pp. 730–740, 2009. 297
298
14. C. Bunyakan, J. Chungsiriporn, and S. Prasertsan, "Cleaner production of palm oil milling by process optimization," 2005. 299
15. Y. Bouchery, A. Ghaffari, Z. Jemai, and Y. Dallery, "Including sustainability criteria into inventory models," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 222, no. 2, pp. 229–240, 2012. 300
301
16. F. T. S. Chan, Z. X. Wang, A. Goswami, A. Singhania, and M. K. Tiwari, "Multi-objective particle swarm optimisation based integrated production inventory routing planning for efficient perishable food logistics operations," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 58, no. 17, pp. 5155–5174, 2020. 302
303
304
17. S. Lazar, D. Klimecka-Tatar, and M. Obrecht, "Sustainability orientation and focus in logistics and supply chains," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 3280, 2021. 305
306
18. R. Nourifar, I. Mahdavi, N. Mahdavi-Amiri, and M. M. Paydar, "Optimizing decentralized production–distribution planning problem in a multi-period supply chain network under uncertainty," *J. Ind. Eng. Int.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 367–382, 2018. 307
308
19. F. Goodarzian and H. Hosseini-Nasab, "Applying a fuzzy multi-objective model for a production–distribution network design problem by using a novel self-adoptive evolutionary algorithm," *Int. J. Syst. Sci. Oper. Logist.*, pp. 1–22, 2019. 309
310
20. M. O. Okwu and A. N. Nwachukwu, "A review of fuzzy logic applications in petroleum exploration, production and distribution operations," *J. Pet. Explor. Prod. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1555–1568, 2019. 311
312
21. G. K. Badhotiya, G. Soni, and M. L. Mittal, "Fuzzy multi-objective optimization for multi-site integrated production and distribution planning in two echelon supply chain," *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 635–645, 2019. 313
314
22. H. Mawengkang, "Production planning of fish processed product under uncertainty," *Anziam J.*, vol. 51, pp. 784–802, 2009. 315
316

A Decision Model to Plan Optimally Production-Distribution of Seafood Product with Multiple Location

ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

MATCHED SOURCE

1 **serisc.org**
Internet

297 words — **6%**

★serisc.org
Internet

6%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES < 12 WORDS